

Y*

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/089,011	03/25/2002	Kenneth James Bunker	7038-3011-001	5289
7590 02/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert L Stearns			GRAHAM, MATTHEW C	
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5291 Colony Drive North			3683	
Saginaw, MI 48603			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	

Diagra and hology and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/089,011	BUNKER, KENNETH JAMES		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Matthew C Graham	3683		
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 March 2002.			
,				
<i>,</i> —	pplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	•			
Applicant may not request that any objecti				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be				
,	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John F 10-132.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been	pplication No		
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not i	received.		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
 Notice of Dransperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FT) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P² Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
· -p - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-/	-		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 10/089,011

'Art Unit: 3683

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on 3-25-2002.
- 2. It is noted that Applicant has used the incorrect title "Sintered Sted Material" on the amendment and numerous IDS statements. Future correspondences should include the correct title of the invention to avoid confusion.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the hydraulic piston and cylinder mechanism must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 5 are each indefinite in the open-ended ambiguous term "or the like". Claims 1 and 5 are also each indefinite in the narrative recitation "said servo motor being less than the corresponding...". Claims 2-4, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 13 are each indefinite due to their dependency on claims 1 and 5. In addition, claim 13 is a duplicate of claim 8.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/089,011 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Carre et al or Ralea.

Both Carre et al. and Ralea show electrically applied multi-disc brakes.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3, 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carre et al in view of UK Publication 2,306,528 by Steiner et al.

The claimed invention differs from Carre et al. only in the use of a hydraulic cylinder and piston. Steiner et al. show a motor that operates a hydraulic piston and cylinder to operate the brakes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a hydraulic piston and cylinder with Carre et al. as a replacement for the wedding unit dependent on the type of vehicle so as to provide for anti-clock or anti-slip braking.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mathew Graham at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Graham/kn September 23, 2003 MATTHEW C. GRAHAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER